## ADDITIVITY OF MEASURE IMPLIES ADDITIVITY OF CATEGORY

## BY

## TOMEK BARTOSZYŃSKI

ABSTRACT. In this paper it is proved that  $2^{\omega}$ -additivity of category follows from  $2^{\omega}$ -additivity of measure, and a combinatorial characterization of additivity of measure is found.

DEFINITIONS. For abbreviation we denote by A(c), B(c), A(m), B(m), D and hD the following sentences:

 $A(c) \equiv \text{union of less than } 2^{\omega} \text{ meager sets is meager.}$ 

 $B(c) \equiv \text{union of less than } 2^{\omega} \text{ meager sets is not } \omega^{\omega}$ .

A(m) and B(m) are defined analogously by replacing the word "meager" by "Lebesgue measure zero". The symbols  $\forall^{\infty}$ ,  $\exists^{\infty}$  abbreviate "for all but finitely many" and "exist infinitely many". Let  $\prec$  denote the following order on  $\omega^{\omega}$ . For  $f, g \in \omega^{\omega}, f \prec g \equiv \forall^{\infty} n f(n) < g(n)$ .

$$D \equiv \forall F \subset \omega^{\omega}[|F| < 2^{\omega} \to \exists g \in \omega^{\omega} \ \forall f \in F \ f < g].$$

 $hD \equiv$  for every family of power less than  $2^{\omega}$  which consists of converging series there exists a converging series eventually dominating each of them.

Recall here some known facts which will be used later.

THEOREM 1 (MILLER, TRUSS).  $A(c) \equiv B(c) \& D$ .  $\square$ 

THEOREM 2 (MILLER). Assuming D

$$B(c) \equiv \forall F \subset \omega^{\omega} \big[ |F| < 2^{\omega} \to \exists g \in \omega^{\omega} \, \forall f \in F \, \exists^{\infty} n \, f(n) = g(n) \big]. \quad \Box$$

THEOREM 3 (KUNEN).  $A(c) \leftrightarrow A(m)$ .

For the proofs see [1].

THEOREM 4 (MILLER).  $A(m) \rightarrow D$ .

COROLLARY (MILLER).  $A(m) \& B(c) \rightarrow A(c)$ .

For the proof of Theorem 4 see [2].

Now we will find a combinatorial characterization of A(m) which will be useful to establish the main result.

THEOREM 5.  $A(m) \equiv hD$ .

Received by the editors December 26, 1982.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03E05; Secondary 28A99.

PROOF.  $\leftarrow$  We will work in the space R with Lebesgue measure m. Let  $\{I_n: n < \omega\}$  be the enumeration of the standard basis of R, i.e. open intervals with rational endpoints. Take any family  $\{G_{\xi}: \xi < \lambda < 2^{\omega}\}$  of null sets in R. For every  $\xi < \lambda$  we can find basic intervals  $\{I_n^{\xi}: n < \omega\}$  such that

$$\forall_{\xi<\lambda}G_{\xi}\subset\bigcap_{n}\bigcup_{m\geq n}I_{m}^{\xi}\quad \text{and}\quad \forall_{\xi<\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}m\big(I_{n}^{\xi}\big)<\infty.$$

Now for  $\xi < \lambda$  define  $f_{\xi} \in 2^{\omega}$  as

$$f_{\xi}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & I_n = I_k^{\xi} \text{ for some } k \in \omega \\ & \text{for } n < \omega. \end{cases}$$

By this definition we have

$$\forall_{\xi<\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}f_{\xi}(n)\cdot m(I_n)<\infty.$$

Now take the family  $\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{\xi}(n) \cdot m(I_n): \xi < \lambda\}$ . It is easy to see that using hD we can get  $f \in 2^{\omega}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \cdot m(I_n) < \infty$  and  $\forall_{\xi < \lambda} \forall^{\infty} n \ f_{\xi}(n) \leq f(n)$ . Let  $G = \bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{m > n, f(m) = 1} I_m$ . We have

$$G_{\xi} \subset \bigcap_{n = m > n} I_n^{\xi} \subset G \text{ for } \xi < \lambda.$$

This finishes the proof because G is a null set.  $\square$ 

- → LEMMA. The following conditions are equivalent:
- (i) hD.
- (ii)  $\forall F \subset \omega^{\omega}[|F| < 2^{\omega} \to \exists I_n \subset \omega [|I_n| < n^2 \land \forall f \in F \forall^{\infty} n f(n) \in I_n]].$
- (iii)

$$\begin{split} D \& \forall F \subset \omega^{\omega} \bigg[ \bigg( \big| F \big| < 2^{\omega} \& F < f \& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f(n)} < \infty \bigg) \\ \rightarrow \exists I_n \subset \omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I_n|}{f(n)} < \infty \ \forall g \in F \ \forall^{\infty} n \ g(n) \in I_n \bigg]. \end{split}$$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $F = \{f_{\xi}: \xi < \lambda < 2^{\omega}\} \subset \omega^{\omega}$ . Define for  $\xi < \lambda$  the series

$$a_n^{\xi} = \begin{cases} \max\{k^{-2} \colon n = f_{\xi}(k)\}, & n \in Rg(f_{\xi}), \\ 0, & n \notin Rg(f_{\xi}). \end{cases}$$

By hD there exists  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  eventually dominating all  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{\xi}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < 1$ . Denote  $I_k = \{n: a_n \ge k^{-2}\}$  for  $k < \omega$ . Notice that  $|I_n| < n^2$  for almost every  $n < \omega$  and  $\forall g \in F \ \forall^{\infty} n \ g(n) \in I_n$ . Q.E.D.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Take any family of coverging series, i.e.  $F = \{f_{\xi}: \xi < \lambda < 2^{\omega}\}$  where  $f_{\xi}: \omega \rightarrow Q$  (rationals) for every  $\xi < \lambda$ . Define for  $\xi < \lambda$  sequences  $\{n_{k}^{\xi}: k < \omega\} \subset \omega$ 

such that

$$\forall_{\xi<\lambda}\forall^{\infty}\,k\sum_{i>n_{\ell}^{k}}^{\infty}f_{\xi}(i)<2^{-k}.$$

Notice that (ii) obviously implies D, so we can find  $h \in \omega^{\omega}$  such that

$$\forall_{\xi<\lambda}\forall^{\infty}k\ n_k^{\xi} < h(k).$$

Now define

$$f'_{\xi}(k) = f_{\xi|[h(k),h(k+1))}$$
 for  $\xi < \lambda$ .

We apply (ii) to the family  $\{f'_{\xi}: \xi < \lambda\}$  to get  $\{I_n: n < \omega\}$  such that  $\forall k \mid I_k \mid < k^2$  and  $\forall_{\xi < \lambda} \forall^{\infty} k f'_{\xi}(k) \in I_k$ . Each  $I_k$  consists of functions from [h(k), h(k+1)] to Q. Now define a series  $f: \omega \to Q$  in the following way:

$$f(n) = \sup \left\{ s(n) : s \in I_k \text{ and } \sum_{i=h(k)}^{h(k+1)} s(i) < 2^{-k} \right\}.$$

for  $n \in [h(k), h(k+1))$ . Notice that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2/2^n < \infty$  and  $\forall_{\xi < \lambda} \forall^{\infty} n$   $f_{\xi}(n) \le f(n)$ , so f is what we were looking for.  $\square$ 

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii). Take any family  $F \subset \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $|F| < 2^{\omega}$ , F < f and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/f(n) < \infty$ . We have to find  $\{I_n : n < \omega\}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I_n|}{f(n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \forall g \in F \, \forall^{\infty} n \, g(n) \in I_n.$$

Let  $\{R_n: n < \omega\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{R_n}{f(n)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad R_n \to \infty.$$

Pick a sequence  $\{u_n: n < \omega\}$  such that  $\forall n \ R_{u_n} \ge n^2$ . For  $g \in F$  let g' be defined in the following way:

$$g'(k) = g_{[u_k, u_{k+1})}$$
 for  $k < \omega$ .

Applying (ii) to the family  $\{g': g \in F\}$  and define as in the previous proof:

$$J_n = \{s(n) : s \in I_k\} \quad \text{for } n \in [u_k, u_{k+1}).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\forall g \in F \, \forall^{\infty} n \quad g(n) \in J_n \quad \text{and} \quad \forall^{\infty} n \quad |J_n| \leq R_n$$
.

As we noticed earlier (ii)  $\rightarrow D$  so the implication (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) is proved.  $\Box$ 

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Take any  $F \subset \omega^{\omega}$ ,  $|F| < 2^{\omega}$ . By D we can find  $f \in \omega^{\omega}$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{k_n : n < \omega\} \subset \omega$  such that F < f and  $k_n / f(n) = n^{-2}$  for every  $n < \omega$ . For  $g \in F$  define  $g' \in \omega^{\omega}$  in the following way:

$$g'$$
:  $\frac{g(1)}{k_1 \text{ times}}$   $\frac{g(2)}{k_2 \text{ times}}$   $\frac{g(3)}{k_3 \text{ times}}$ 

Now apply (iii) to the family  $\{g': g \in F\}$  and define  $J_n = I_j$  of minimal power from the  $k_n$ th block for  $n < \omega$ . We have

$$\infty > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I_n|}{f'(n)} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_n |J_n|}{f(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|J_n|}{n^2}.$$

Thus  $|J_n| < n^2$  for almost every  $n < \omega$  and  $\forall g \in F \ \forall^{\infty} n \ g(n) \in J_n$ . This finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$ 

Now we will prove that  $A(m) \to (iii)$ . By Theorem 4 we already know that  $A(m) \to D$ . Take any family  $F \subset \omega^{\omega}$ ,  $F \prec f$  such that  $|F| < 2^{\omega}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)^{-1} < \infty$ . Let  $X = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$  and

$$H_g = \{x \in X : \exists^{\infty} n \ x(n) = g(n)\} \quad \text{for } g \in X.$$

Denote by  $\mu$  the standard product measure on X.

$$\mu(H_g) = \mu\Big(\bigcap_{n = m > n} \{x \in X : x(m) = g(m)\}\Big)$$

$$\leq \mu\Big(\bigcup_{m > n} \{x \in X : x(m) = g(m)\}\Big) \leq \sum_{m > n} f(m)^{-1} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus  $\mu(H_g) = 0$  for  $g \in X$ . By A(m) we can find a closed set, i.e. a tree T such that the set of its branches [T] has positive measure and  $[T] \cap H_g = \emptyset$  for  $g \in F$ . Denote  $T(n) = \{x(n): x \in [T]\}$  and  $T_s = \{t \in T: s \le t\}$ . We can assume that  $[T_s]$  has positive measure for  $s \in T$ .

CLAIM.  $\forall g \in F \exists s \in T \forall n > lh(s) g(n) \notin T_s(n)$ .

PROOF. Assume that it is false for some  $g \in F$ . Then there is a branch  $x \in [T]$  such that  $\exists^{\infty} n \ x(n) = g(n)$ . But this means that  $[T] \cap H_g \neq \emptyset$ , thus a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Therefore for every  $g \in F$  we have some subtree  $T_s$  as in the claim: let us call them  $T_{s_1}, T_{s_2}, T_{s_3}, \ldots$ . Denote  $I_m^n = T_s(m)$  for  $n, m < \omega$ . It is easy to see that

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I_m^n|}{f(m)} > 0 \quad \text{for all } n < \omega.$$

By changing the first few  $I_m^n$ 's for every  $n < \omega$  we can obtain  $\{I_m^n: n, m < \omega\}$  (use the same name) such that

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|I_m^n|}{f(m)} > 1 - 2^{-n-1} \quad \text{for } n < \omega.$$

Now let  $J_m = \bigcap_n I_m^n$  for  $m < \omega$ . Obviously  $\prod_n |J_n|/f(n) > 0$ . Let  $I_n = f(n) - J_n$ ,  $n < \omega$ . It is not very hard to see that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_n|/f(n) < \infty$  and  $\forall g \in F \forall^{\infty} n \ g(n) \in I_n$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$ 

THEOREM 6.  $hD \rightarrow A(c)$ .

COROLLARY. 
$$A(m) \rightarrow A(c)$$
.

PROOF. By Theorems 1 and 2 in order to prove Theorem 6 it is enough to show that (ii) implies

$$\forall F < \omega^{\omega} \big[ |F| < 2^{\omega} \to \exists g \in \omega^{\omega} \, \forall f \in F \exists^{\infty} n \, f(n) = g(n) \big].$$

Take any family  $F \subset \omega^{\omega}$ ,  $|F| < 2^{\omega}$ . We have to find  $g \in \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $\forall f \in F \exists^{\infty} n$  f(n) = g(n). For  $f \in F$  define f' in the following way:

$$f'(k) = f_{[k^3,(k+1)^3)}, \qquad k < \omega.$$

Applying (ii) to the family  $\{f': f \in F\}$  we obtain  $\{I_n: n < \omega\}$  such that  $|I_n| < n^2$  for  $n < \omega$  and  $\forall f \in F \forall^{\infty} n$   $f'(n) \in I_n$ . Notice that each  $I_n$  consists of less than  $n^2$  functions whose domains have power bigger than  $n^2$  (because  $(n+1)^3 - n^3 > n^2$ ). Thus it is easy to find the required function picking one value out of each function from  $I_n$  for every  $n < \omega$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.  $\square$ 

In fact we have proved

COROLLARY. If unions of less than  $\lambda$  null sets are null than unions of less than  $\lambda$  meager sets are meager.  $\square$ 

This was also proved later by J. Raisonnier and Y. Stern.

## REFERENCES

1. Arnold Miller, Some properties of measure and category, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 266 (1981), 93-114.
2. \_\_\_\_\_\_, Additivity of measure implies dominating real, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

00-785 Warsaw, Grottgera 12A-51, Poland